

21/5/2007.

Agnes.
Saxonwold 2132 Jhb.

Michael Harris, Willie and
Box 52240

The Provincial Head.
South African Police Service.
Office of the Provincial Commissioner,
Detective Service, Gauteng.
Private Bag X57 Braamfontein 2017.
fax 011 274 7577.

Registered.
Ref : 4/14/2/3 (5330) (3926)

Dear Sirs/Madams,

* **About 17 Police Cases against the Directors of FRL and their agents.**

Charges of **Theft, Fraud, Mis-representation** of the Truth,
“undue influence”, Duress, Coercion, Defamation of
Character, **Threats** of physical violence, (including
murder), of **‘Conspiracy’, of ‘Corruption’** (including
Bribery), of **‘Defeating the Ends of Justice’/the Directors,**
CA’s, Co. Secretaries First Rand Limited/FRbHL/FNb, their agents
and others.

* **LIES** told by Directors of this Public Company to Members of the
General Public, to their Shareholders and to the News Media
present - **AGM’s 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006; False**

Minutes of Public
2006; breaches of the Companies

Meetings 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005,
Act.

1. Your letter 30/4/2007 refers.

2. The heading to your letter is actually wrong. I have stated it in writing in the past. I again place it on record with the SAPS. I have never lodged **Complaints** against the SAPS. If I had **Complained** against the SAPS then I would have addressed my **Complaint** to the Honourable Minister of Police. I have not done that. I have not yet approached the Honourable Minister of Police to lodge a formal **Complaint**. This is because I have no reason to believe that the **Police** have not Investigated the about **17 Police Cases** involving these Criminals.

2.1 In fact my **Complaints** have at all times been addressed to the Prosecuting Authorities - such as the Offices of the DPP Pta and Jhb and SCCU Jhb and Pta.

2.1.1 This is because I have at all times believed that the SAPS have Investigated the about **17 Police Cases**, but that the Prosecuting Authorities have then not Prosecuted. I have said that this is so **wrong** and that this is my **Complaint**.

(I have also, at the same time, been wanting to keep the **Interested Parties** informed about how these Criminals can carry on, as if they are above the Law of the Land. I have at all times stated my belief that these Criminals are not above the Law of the Land and should be Prosecuted, as the Criminals which they are. I have also tried to influence the **Interested Parties** to whom I have **Complained** so that they should put a stop to all this Crime, which

includes **Corruption** and **Conspiracy to Commit Crime**)

2.2 When I **Complained** to the Honourable Minister of Justice, to the Honourable Minister for Safety and Security, to the Honourable Minister of Finance, and to other **Interested Parties**, then my **Complaints** were at all times relating to the refusal of the Prosecuting (and Disciplinary) Authorities to Prosecute (and to Discipline) these Criminals – many of whom are actually **CA's** !!!!!!!

2.2.1 The Public Accountants and Auditors Board/IRBA, the Banking Council of South Africa, the Ombudsman for Banking Services, the South African Institute of Accountants, the South African Institute of Secretaries – all these Authorities (just like the Prosecuting Authorities DPP Pta and Jhb and SCCU Jhb and Pta)

seem **afraid** of the Directors of this bank/Public Company, and they all seem **afraid** of the **agents** of this bank/Public Company e. g. Deloitte and Touche and PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc and Deneys Reitz.

The Ombudsman keeps telling me I am Right to approach the **Police** – even on the 9/5/07 the Ombudsman wrote to me :

“Naturally, you are free to refer your complaints to the South African Police Services”.

The CEO of the IRBA/PAAB wrote to me 10/11/2006 :

“I assure you that this Board will co-operate with the Police if we are approached by them to do so”.

The Ombudsman and the IRBA/PAAB wrote to me in the full knowledge that I had already approached the **Police** from 1997 and in **17 Police Cases**, to date. The Ombudsman and the IRBA/PAAB have the full details of these **17 Police Cases**. The Ombudsman and the IRBA/PAAB have been named to the **Police** as a part of the **'Corruption'** and **'Conspiracy'** which these Directors are involved in, together with their **agents**.

2.2.1.1 I have even **Complained** to the Director of Public Prosecutions. I will continue to **Complain** because it is **so wrong** to be **afraid** of these Directors and **afraid** to Prosecute them, together with this bank/ Public Company.

Or, if it is not the **fear** of these Directors, is it the money they have ? There is the Maharaj and **Corruption Police Case** which is just one of the about **17 Police Cases** – where these Directors paid out over R million to this man who had no banking experience, but he was the Honourable Minister of Transport etc etc etc ... as quoted in the Newspapers and in Magazines which I have read.

All the **Interested Parties** to whom I have **Complained** have read this story of **Bribery** and **Corruption** too i. e. the **'Maharaj Scandal'**.

3. Please be informed that there are about **17 Police Cases** involved. All of these **17 Police Cases** should be Investigated. This is because of the *nexus* that exists in the Crime involving these Criminals in these **17 Police Cases**. Thank you.

4. Kindly report to me on the progress in the Investigation of these **17 Police Cases**. Thank you.

4.1 In particular kindly inform me which original documents the SAPS have been able to get from this bank that were altered after I signed them; which documents were used to **Fraudulently** sell off assets wrongfully; which bond documents that contain inflated charges not owing; which a/c's which are inflated with interest not owing; which documents dealing with the so-called "**Forensic Reports**" prepared by the **agents** of this bank/Public Company (PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc) which caused the Directors to blame the **Police** for telling LIES to the Shareholders of this Public Company; which a/c's had trust money deposited and then 'moved' from a/c to a/c, where trust money was stolen; etc etc etc i. e. the original documents which relate to the **Theft** and the **Fraud** and the other Crime which I have *set out* in the about **17 Police Cases** ?

4.1.1 These are the documents the Honourable Judge Soggert told the bank to supply, but the bank ignored the Honourable Judge and refused; the Honourable Magistrate Mrs H Raath could not force the bank to produce in the Enquiry I instituted into my own Estate; the documents the trustee requested and which the Director's lawyer (Robert Driman) said he would supply but then refused to bring to Court to show to the Honourable Magistrate Mrs H Raath; the documents Inspector Pilane went with me to the bank to obtain for the **Police**, but the bank refused the **Police (then Director CEO Dippenaar LIED to the Shareholders, saying the**

Police had never been to the bank with me to obtain these documents that go to prove the Crime); the documents a Public Prosecutor told me and Inspector Pilane a Magistrate would Order the bank to hand over to the **Police**, but I heard nothing further, except that Inspector Pilane was 'removed from the cases'; the documents I have asked the **Police** and the National Director of Prosecutions and the Director of the **Fraud** at the Commercial Branch Jhb, Mr Magson, and the SCCU Jhb and Pta and the DPP Pta and Jhb to obtain and to show them to me etc etc etc.

These are the documents that go to **prove** the Crime.

5. I have asked repeatedly, and for years, to be shown the original documents that go to **prove** the Crime set out to the **Police** in the about **17 Police Cases**.

5.1 The **Police** tried to get these documents from the bank, but the bank simply refused the **Police**. I know this because I went with the **Police** to try to get these documents.

5.1.1 I ask it again, please get these documents from the bank and allow me to inspect them with the **Police**.

6. I have offered (on many occasions) to sit down with the **Police** and the Prosecuting Authorities to go through my Statements and to amend anything that the **Police** or the Prosecuting Authorities may want me to change in my Statement, to make the Charges clearer and more understandable/acceptable etc etc etc.

6.1 The **Police** and the Prosecuting Authorities have simply ignored me. Why is this ?

6.1.1 I offer it again, here and now.

7. Then there is the **current** information given under oath at the Estate Agents Affairs Board. I have asked the **Police** and the Prosecuting Authorities to simply obtain this information from the EAAB to **prove** the **Crime**.

7.1 Has this been done ? Will the **Police** want me to sit down and go through this vital information given under oath which goes to **prove** the **Crime** ?

7.1.1 I here offer to assist the **Police** in this regard. Please come back to me and tell me how I can assist further. Thank you.

I again supply the detail : The EAAB ref. is **T 56187** – AW
Hartard and C Mostert/Property Mart

Your sincerely,

M E Harris.

P. S. P. S. Enclosed is a copy of our 'Application for an Arbitrator' letter sent to the Ombudsman, their reply 9/5/07 and my reply to them 21/5/07, for your records and to assist the **Police** further.